Number of Publications by Clinical Department Fiscal 2002-2003

	Refereed Journals	Book Chapters
Anesthesiology	1	1
Diagnostic Radiology	12	1
Emergency Medicine	0	0
Family Practice	8	4
Internal Medicine	58	17
Neurology	25	7
Obstetrics and Gynecology	6	3
Ophthalmology	6	1
Pediatrics	21	3
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation	1	0
Psychiatry	12	0
Radiation Medicine	3	0
Surgery	-	v
Cardiothoracic	6	2
General Surgery	41	5
Neurosurgery	9	2
Orthopaedics	7	6
E.N.T.	4	0
Urology	3	1
Pediatric Surgery	0	0
Plastic Surgery	2	1
Transplant	0	0

	2002	-2003			2001	-2002		
Division	Referred Journals	Book Chapters	Full-time Faculty	Full-time Clin. Fac.	Referred Journal	Book Chapters	Full-time Faculty	Full-time Clin. Fac
Cardiothoracic Surgery	6	2	10	8	9	2	8	6
General Surgery	41	5	23	22	34	4	22	21
Neurosurgery	9	2	10	6	4	3	11	6
Orthopaedic Surgery	7	6	16	16	9	5	14	14
ENT	4	0	7	6	2	3	7	6
Urology	3	1	4	3	5	3	6	5
Pediatric Surgery	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	3
Plastic Surgery	2	1	5	5	4	1	4	4
Transplant	8	0	4	4	1	0	4	4
Total	80	17	81	72	68	20	79	69

	1998-	1999	%Chng	199	9-2000	%Chnq	2000)-2001	%Chnq	2001	-2002	%Chnq	000	0.000	
Grants Submitted NIH Other Contract Med Center Total	Number 24 16 23 8 71	Dollar \$17,118,852 \$2,775,323 \$1,956,667 \$111,861 \$21,962,703	1750% (48%) 548%	Number 20 22 13 7 62	Dollar \$18,326,438 \$3,130,276 \$2,164,657 \$111,072 \$23,732,443	7% 13% 11% (1%)	Number 10 21 11 5	\$5,825,882 \$1,707,334 \$1,193,799 \$68,056 \$8,795,071	(68%) (45%) (45%) (39%)	Number 11 15 19 3	Dollar \$6,138,377 \$1,798,708 \$1,724,779 \$45,000	5% 5% 44% (34%)	Number 18 31 17 6	2-2003 Dollar \$11,344,483 \$8,450,591 \$1,611,629 \$89,887	85% 370%
Grants Funded NIH Other Contract Med Center Total	17 18 49 4	\$2,150,288 \$1,028,656 \$568,036 \$62,064 \$3,809,044	47% (14%) 315%	17 23 47 5	\$1,513,423 \$1,362,702 \$575,724 \$43,676 \$3,495,525	(30%) 32% 1% (30%)	15 19 40 3 77	\$2,186,482 \$1,360,127 \$635,748 \$33,090 \$4,215,447	(0%) 10% (24%)	18 16 49 4 87	\$2,271,763 \$1,439,374 \$668,242 \$43,947 \$4,423,326	10% 4% 6% 5% 33% 5%	72 14 21 40 3 78	\$21,496,590 \$2,210,592 \$2,705,248 \$643,441 \$86,000 \$5,645,281	(3%)

Grants Submitted - represents the number and dollar amount of any grant submitted during a given fiscal year.

Grants Funded - represents the number and dollar amount of any grant funded during a given fiscal year. Grant dollars awarded are credited only to the fiscal year in which the dollars were received. If the award year crosses fiscal years, a grant is included as active for both fiscal years, but award dollars are only reported if they are actually received during a fiscal year.

Hesearch Space Allocated (Net Ft2)	12,097	12,196	3 1%					
Funding/Square Foot (\$/Ft2)	315	\$287		11,495	(6%)	12,099	5%	11,427
. ,	0.0	φ20	7 -9%	\$367	28%	\$366	(0%)	\$494

Research Space Allocated - represents the sum of all square feet of laboratory space assigned to a division.

Funding per Square Foot - is a calculated number, sum of all award dollars per fiscal year divided by a division's research space allocated.

⁻ Other - any grant submitted which is not NIH or Contract or Medical Center

⁻ Contract - industrial sponsored agreements; generally human clinical studies

⁻ Med Center - internally sponsored awards (eg. awards through the Dean or Vice Chancellor)

-	1998-1999	%Chng	1999-2000	%Chng	2000-2001	%Chnq	2001-2002	%Chnq	2002-2003	%Chnq
Publications	Number		Number		Number		Manakan			
Refereed Journal	Articles 79	-2%	107	35%	116	8%	Number		Number	
Books/Book Chap			14	-44%			73	-37%	80	10%
Abstracts	32				25	79%	20	-20%	17	-15%
,			26	-19%	41	58%	13	-68%	13	0%
Total	136	2%	147	8%	182	24%	106	-42%	110	4%
Presentations									•	
National	135	63%	91	-33%	145	59%	440	0.40/		
International	44		43	-2%	· · · · · · · · ·		110		106	-4%
Total					80	86%	41	-49%	41	0%
Total	179	29%	134	-25%	225	68%	151	-33%	147	-3%
Professional Activity					•					
Study Sections	24	4%	21	-13%	18	-14%	20	11%		00/
Grant Reviewer	18	64%	26	44%	20		23		20	
Editor/Editorial Bo	ard 40	18%	47	18%	39	-17%		15%	19	
Manuscript Review			130	10%			40	3%	46	15%
Total					134	3%	178	33%	163	-8%
IOLAI	200	17%	224	12%	211	-6%	261	24%	248	-5%

Publications - All information is taken from the Faculty Database; Annual Report-Faculty Productivity Statistics. To ensure the highest quality of publication activity is reported, only the categories Refereed Journal Articles, Books Published as Primary Author & Book Chapters, and Abstracts are included, i.e., categories such as Other Publications are not included. All publications of faculty in the divisions for these categories are reported per fiscal year.

Presentations - All national and international presentations made by division faculty are reported by fiscal year.

Professional Activity - To ensure the highest quality of professional activity is reported, only regional, national and international professional activities in the categories of Study Section, Grant Reviewer/Review Panels, Editor of a Professional Journal, Editorial Board/Manuscript Reviewer, and Journal Manuscript Reviewer were reported, i.e., no state or local professional activity is reported in any category and categories such as Public Service were not included.

DEPARTMENT G. JURGERY STRATEGIC PLANNING DATA

Fiscal Years 2000-01 to 2002-03

Orthopaedic Surgery
Research and Academic Productivity

	1998	-1999	%Chng	1999-2	000	%Chng	2000-2	001	%Chnq	2001-2	002	%Chnq	2002-	-2003	%Chng
Grants Submitted	Number	Dollar		Number	Dollar		Number	Dollar		Number	Dollar		Number	Dollar	
NIH	1	\$169,730		0	\$0	(100%)	0	\$0		0	\$0		0	\$0	
Other	0	\$0		0	\$0		0	\$0		0	\$0		2	\$46,080	
Contract	. 1	\$18,075	(32%)	0	\$0	(100%)	. 3	\$97,146		0	· ·	(100%)	0	\$0	
Med Center	2	\$29,250	<u>.</u>	2	\$28,840	(1%)	0	\$0	(100%)	1	\$15,000	(/	0	-	(100%)
Total	4	\$217,055	720%	2	\$28,840	(87%)	3	\$97,146	•	1	\$15,000	(85%)	2	\$46,080	
Grants Funded															
NIH	0	\$0		0	\$0		0	.\$0		0	\$0		. 0	\$0	
Other	2	\$38,075		4.	\$10,000	(74%)	. 2	\$0	(100%)	1	\$0		3	\$46.080	
Contract	9	\$0	(100%)	4	\$0	` '	2	\$3,750	` '	2	\$68,346	1723%	1		(100%)
Med Center	2	\$14,400	_	2	\$19,600	36%	2	\$25,090	28%	. 0		(100%)	. 1	\$15,000	` '
Total	13	\$52,475	(39%)	10	\$29,600	(44%)	6	\$28,840		3	\$68,346		5	\$61,080	•

Grants Submitted - represents the number and dollar amount of any grant submitted during a given fiscal year.

Grants Funded - represents the number and dollar amount of any grant funded during a given fiscal year. Grant dollars awarded are credited only to the fiscal year in which the dollars were received. If the award year crosses fiscal years, a grant is included as active for both fiscal years, but award dollars are only reported if they are actually received during a fiscal year.

Research Space Allocated (Net F	0	0	0	0	0
Funding/Square Foot (\$/Ft2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

Research Space Allocated - represents the sum of all square feet of laboratory space assigned to a division.

Funding per Square Foot - is a calculated number, sum of all award dollars per fiscal year divided by a division's research space allocated.

⁻ NIH

⁻ Other - any grant submitted which is not NIH or Contract or Medical Center

⁻ Contract - industrial sponsored agreements; generally human clinical studies

⁻ Med Center - internally sponsored awards (eg. awards through the Dean or Vice Chancellor)

DEPARTMENT C JURGERY STRATEGIC PLANNING DATA Fiscal Years 2000-01 to 2002-03

Orthopaedic Surgery
Research and Academic Productivity

_	1998-1999	%Chnq	1999-2000	%Chnq	2000-2001	%Chnq	2001-2002	%Chnq	2002-2003	%Chng
Publications	Number		Number		Number		Number		Number	
Refereed Journal Art	icles 12	(14%)	16	33%	14	(13%)	•	(200/)	Number	(000()
Books/Book Chapter	s 5		0		9	(1070)	9	(· -)	/	(22%)
Abstracts	0		0	(10070)	3		5	. ,	6	
Total		• •					2	100%	1	(50%)
iotai	17	(15%)	16	(6%)	24	50%	. 16	(33%)	14	(13%)
Presentations	•									` ,
National	40	167%	37	(8%)	43	16%		(400/)		
International	11		7	(36%)	· ·		22	(/	26	18%
Total		` '			12	71%	5	(58%)	2	(60%)
iotai	51	31%	44	(14%)	55	25%	27	(51%)	28	4%
Professional Activity										
Study Sections	. 3	50%	0	(100%)	. 0		•		_	
Grant Reviewer	0		0	(10070)	0		0		0	
Editor/Editorial Board	l 4	0%	9	125%	-	(4.40/)	0		0	
Manuscript Reviewer	•				5		10	100%	12	20%
•		\ ,	6	20%	6	0%	7	17%	7	0%
Total	12	(8%)	15	25%	11	(27%)	17	55%	19	12%

Publications - All information is taken from the Faculty Database; Annual Report-Faculty Productivity Statistics. To ensure the highest quality of publication activity is reported, only the categories Refereed Journal Articles, Books Published as Primary Author & Book Chapters, and Abstracts are included, i.e., categories such as Other Publications are not included. All publications of faculty in the divisions for these categories are reported per fiscal year.

Presentations - All national and international presentations made by division faculty are reported by fiscal year.

Professional Activity - To ensure the highest quality of professional activity is reported, only regional, national and international professional activities in the categories of Study Section, Grant Reviewer/Review Panels, Editor of a Professional Journal, Editorial Board/Manuscript Reviewer, and Journal Manuscript Reviewer were reported, i.e., no state or local professional activity is reported in any category and categories such as Public Service were not included.

REPORT OF RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY

1993 - 2003

Division of Orthopaedic Surgery

University of Kentucky

Lexington, KY

1 April 2004

Executive Summary

This report details the faculty research productivity over the period 1993 – 2003. All published and peer reviewed productivity was recorded from faculty that responded to inquiries within the time allotted.

Several noteworthy considerations must be remembered when reading this summary. First, there has been faculty turnover during this period, and some of the faculty was not available within the time allocated to prepare this report. Therefore, their productivity was not included. Notes indicating who such faculty was and their term of employment at UK Orthopaedics are provided. Therefore, the summary presented is a "worst-case" report. Additional information received from this faculty will result in an increased volume of productivity.

Second, the University of Kentucky maintains a database of faculty grant activity. This database is only accurate as far as faculty has completed Internal Approval Forms notifying the University of the receipt of such grant funding. In the past, this was not done on a rigorous basis. IAFs were not completed for an unknown number of funded grants, therefore the numbers presented in this report for grant activity will likely differ from those formally listed by the University.

Third, despite repeated notification to the contrary, the University often fails to recognize receipt of funded grants that do not include overhead dollars. New faculty commonly receives small grants to assist them with commencing their research activities. Such granting agencies often have as a matter of policy that they do not pay overhead expenses. Because of this policy, University accounting systems do not recognize these grants; nevertheless, they are included in this report because they do convey important information regarding faculty productivity. Such start-up grants are also responsible for a number of research publications as well as faculty involvement in the graduate student educational mission of the University.

The summarized University of Kentucky Orthopedic Faculty research productivity for the period 1993 to 2003 includes the following:

- A funded grant requests \$2,251,064
- B peer-reviewed publications 244
- C presentations at scientific conferences 301 and abstracts
- D United States Patent literature 7
- E books written or edited 5
- F book chapters written 46

As noted previously, due to faculty underreporting, these are lower limit values for the productivity of the Division for this period.

Center for Bioengineering Interview with former director Charles Knapp Current acting director is David Puleo

Thirteen years ago when Herb Cowfer became head of the Division of Orthopedics he contacted the then director Jim Lafferty and said he had some start-up money and wondered about doing some joint biomedical engineering projects. Eventually, a tenure track line was created and Dr. David Pienkowski was hired into the position. He was to develop a joint research activity as liaison with residents and faculty in the division of orthopedics. A small area in the Wintergrin Lab was outfitted and made available for testing various cadavier joint and bone models. It was expected that he would develop clinically related activities with business and industry as well as assisting the young faculty in their research efforts. It is Dr. Knapp's impression that the "revolving door" of faculty cause many of the activities and projects never to be finished and funding did not follow. Dr. Pienkowski finally did get promoted into a tenured track line but with great difficulty. A lot of the defense of thesis done by joint engineering students and orthopedics faculty was actually completed after the faculty had left. Dr. Knapp believes their need to be more commitment from the administration if joint venture is to be utilized.

On the appointment of Dr. Johnson, he noted some research funding but it was to be in a research title series and for three year only. Efforts to recruit a team fell short. Dr. Johnson was not personally involved and difficult to get through to. Dr. Metzer was originally not involved and when it came down to the hiring objected to hiring anyone in a tenure track position. Currently the Center reports to the Dean of the Graduate School.

It has just been reviewed by a 3 person team from Michigan State, University of Tennessee Memphis and a UK individual. The report should be out soon. Issues raised were reporting relations as well as funding and whether the Center should be moved to the Medical Center/College of Medicine or to the College of Engineering. The current center is self-supporting unit but because of this has not grown. When Dr. Knapp was asked directly about the relationship were Orthopedics to be a department or to stay as a division he was relatively non-committal stating that he felt the most essential thing was a commitment from "the administration" to the program and a clear understanding of the administrative relationships with the center.